



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/678,430	10/02/2000	Chris Greener	PA1256US	4360
22504 75	90 11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP			KINDRED, ALFORD W	
2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA	A 98101-1688		2163	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678,430	GREENER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alford W. Kindred	2163				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMN f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, inication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (it ill, by statute, cause the application to become	NUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 11 November 2005		·			
-		b) This action is non-final.					
′=		e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>33-40 and 42-60</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-40 and 42-60</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requiremer	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date	О-948) Раре	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed 09/19/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 33-40 and 42-46 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, JR. et al., US# 2002/0128898 A1, in view of Beck et al., US# 2002/0128898 A1.

As per claim 33, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "a database interface configured to access the database . . . " (see page 3, paragraphs and [0047]) "a survey creation engine configured to create surveys . . . " (see page 3, paragraph [0043]) "allow a user of the survey creation machine to create a survey . . . " (see page 4, paragraphs [0052]-[0053]) "an e-mail list management facility configured to provided management capability through the network browser of the survey design machine of a list of e-mail . . . " (see paragraphs [0108]-[0110]). Smith, Jr. et al. does not teach "the e-mail containing a network link to provide access to the survey for the client computer via network protocols . . . " . Beck et al. et al. teaches "the e-mail containing a network link to provide access to the survey for the client computer via network protocols . . . " (see paragraphs [[0118] and [0387], whereas Becks e-mail link capabilities, in regards to

survey data, reads on applicant's claim language). It would have obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Smith and Beck, because using the steps of "the e-mail containing a network link to provide access to the survey for the client computer via network protocols . . ." would have given those skilled in the art the tools to provide survey data in the form of e-mail over a network. This give users the advantage of processing survey data faster.

As per claim 34, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "interfaces of the host machine and the survey design machine are configured to access the Internet" (see page 4, lines [0057]-[0058]).

As per claims 35-37, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "a sever process configured to send a created survey . . . than mail protocols . . . " (see page 3, paragraphes [0046]-[0048]).

As per claims 38-39, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "the server process of the host machine is further configured to provide access to response stored in the database for the network browser of the survey design machine" (see page 6, paragraphs, [0098], and [0107]-[0111]).

As per claim 40, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "a survey report machine configured to download the response stored in the database into a spreadsheet program" (see paragraph [0131]-[0132]).

As per claim 42, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "the network link of the e-mail is a universal resource locator (URL)" (see page 9, paragraph [0143]).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,430

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 43, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "the host is configured to store web content objects associated with the stored surveys" (see page 9, paragraphs [0137]-[-141]).

As per claim 44, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "the database is configured to provide password protected access to stored surveys and responses to surveys" (see page 8, paragraphs [0128]-[0130]).

As per claim 45, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "created engine includes a wizard process configured to provide automated guidance in survey creation" (see page 8, paragraphs [0125]).

As per claim 46, Smith, JR. et al. teaches "survey creation engine includes templates of exemplary surveys and is configured to provide to the network browser of the survey design machine at least one function configured to provide modification capability for tailoring of the exemplary surveys to match requirements of intended surveys" (see page 12, paragraphs [0176]-[0177]).

As per claim 56, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 46 and is similarly rejected.

4. Claims 47-55 and 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, JR. et al., US# 2002/0128898 A1, in view of Beck, US# 20040169675 A1, and further in view of DESAI et al., US# 2001/0052009 A1.

As per claim 47, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 33 and is similarly rejected including the

Application/Control Number: 09/678,430

Art Unit: 2163

following: Smith, JR. et al. does not explicitly teach "the network to edit a list of e-mail recipients stored . . .". DESAI et al. teaches "the network to edit a list of e-mail recipients stored . . ." (see paragraphs [0033]-[0035]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art the tools to efficiently edit email list for surveying purposes. This give users the advantage for rendering modified survey lists from recipients faster.

As per claim 48, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 41 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 49, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 46 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 50, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 45 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 51, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33 and 35 and is similarly rejected.

As per claims 52-55, these claims re rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33 and 47 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 57-58, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33 and 47 and are similarly rejected including the following:

■ Smith, JR. et al. teaches "progress bars to be added by the survey creation engine" (see paragraph [0110], whereas Smith's gathering of results indicates

Art Unit: 2163

a progress element in regards to surveying as indicated in applicant's claim language).

fig. 8—sheet 9 of 11 and see paragraphs [0097]-[0098]).

As per claims 59-60, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33 and 47 and are similarly rejected including the following:

--Smith, JR et al. teaches "select font of text . . . color . . ." (see fig. 3—sheet 4 of 11]).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not moot in view of the new grounds of rejection except the following:

--As per applicant's arguments regarding "Applicants could not find anywhere in Smith . . . regarding "an email list management facility configured to provide management capability through the network browser . . . chosen to receive an e-mail associated with a survey . . . ", examiner maintains that Smith in view of Beck, combined teachings involving dynamic assignment of surveys such that a list of e-mail recipients chosen to receive an e-mail associated with a survey . . . ", is illustrative of applicant's claim language an e-mil list management facility configured to provide management capabilities through the network browser . . . ". Both the prior art of record combined and applicant's claim language involves a survey design element combined

Application/Control Number: 09/678,430 Page 7

Art Unit: 2163

with the management of e-mail lists related to the survey design element via a network environment.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,430

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Page 8

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100